

Comment #	PA(s)	Sector	Page #	Comment
NRDC-1	SCG	Com	General (no tracker)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Same comment as Res, add citations, such as those regarding barriers, etc. (e.g., p.6) • P.5 – what makes a “proven program strategy”? EMV? Savings over time? Etc.? • P.5 – seems like the main difference from past cycles is the use of AMI data. Are there other changes? • P.5 - Would link to the 793 AL and reference what actions are happening. • P.7 – include % in Table A.1 • Didn’t see commercial usage compared to the other sectors, please add • Include accounts vs. usage • Is table 1.3 usage? Or account #s? • P.7 – include % in pie charts (likely need to make them bigger like p.10 of SCE’s com/ind/ag chapter) • Include end use information info to understand where the usage is (e.g., SDG&E com starting at p.49) • Add rent vs. own assessment if available • Expand the discussion of climate zones with similar mapping and data like PG&E p.12-13 • Expand trends, see p.16 from PG&E for additional trends in this sector or SCE p.71 in their combined Com/Ind/Ag chapter. • P.10, Include a summary of EMV recs. The list in the appendix is extensive, but unclear what SCG culled from it. (like SCG’s public appendix or PG&E’s com p.54) • Add a discussion of potential (e.g., SDG&E starting at p.44) • Same comments in res re: flow. • P.15 first metrics, for clarity, wouldn’t it be “increase in savings that exceed market potential?” or it could be increased EE economic potential above and beyond market. • P.39 – wouldn’t MF stay in residential? • P.41 metric #1 is different than p.15 metrics for the problem statement • P.41 – HVAC problem statement and metric isn’t in Table D.2 p.15 • P.44 – suggest adding SB 32 • P.56 – likely don’t need the date or source columns. Only will need the unique tracker # (which is different than the entry #)