From:	Hunt, Marshall
To:	<u>"Bijit Kundu"</u>
Cc:	Elliott, Ed
Subject:	RE: AFUE DOE test method
Date:	Wednesday, July 01, 2015 10:34:06 AM

I want to keep them separate.

But I am open to your thoughts

I am inclined to ask that AFUE testing not be changed as a way of lower testing burden since the results are \pm 0.2 AFUE. Also the new test does little more that update the test to ASHRAE. It does not address the fundamental problems with the metric.

Marshall B. Hunt Professional Mechanical Engineer Codes & Standards Pacific Gas & Electric Company 415-260-7624 mbh9@pge.com

From: Bijit Kundu [mailto:BKundu@energy-solution.com] Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 11:38 AM To: Hunt, Marshall Cc: Elliott, Ed Subject: RE: AFUE DOE test method

Confirmed. **July 10**, the same day as the standards letter. As we have done in the past, we could consider folding comments for both the test procedure and the standards in one letter and submit to both dockets.

http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EERE-2012-BT-TP-0024-0026

Bijit Kundu | (510) 482-4420 x261

From: Bijit Kundu Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 7:47 AM To: <u>MBH9@pge.com</u>; Ed Elliott Subject: Re: AFUE DOE test method

July 10. I'll confirm when I get to my desk.

Bijit Kundu Energy Solutions ----- Reply message -----

From: "Hunt, Marshall" <<u>MBH9@pge.com</u>> To: "Bijit Kundu" <<u>BKundu@energy-solution.com</u>>, "Ed Elliott" <<u>ESE1@pge.com</u>> Subject: AFUE DOE test method Date: Wed, Jul 1, 2015 7:24 AM

When are comments due

Sent from my iPhone

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit <u>http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/</u>