

Commenter: Please Fill In This Part Of The Form						For PA Use	
Comment #	Sector	Page #	Comment	Rationale for Comment	Integrated (Y/N)	Rationale for Y/N	
NRDC - 1	Ind	p.7	<b>Observations</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unclear what differences are b/n market and economic</li> </ul> <b>Recommended Action</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explain reasons for differences. Is it all policy? Or is some of it due to programmatic design, and therefore this BP will address those programmatic issues?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would be helpful to get additional understanding of the issues addressing this sector.</li> </ul>			
NRDC – 2	Ind	p.11	<b>Observations</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>P.11 states “SoCalGas response to each identified EM&amp;V recommendations.....in Attachment C...”</li> </ul> <b>Recommended Action</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Attachment C does not provide this. Would be helpful to add the items from the evaluation that are relevant.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>With so much material for stakeholders and regulators to read, providing an easy table to summarize the information would ensure successful communication of activities.</li> </ul>			
NRDC – 3	Ind	p.19	<b>Observations</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>p.19 says that customers who overlap will get various offerings</li> </ul> <b>Recommended Action</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Elaborate on how SCG anticipates that customers from other sectors will be served if overlapping. Is it a bonus for contractors to cross pollinate programs? Is there a role for the account rep? Other?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This is an ongoing issue and would be interesting to hear some examples of how SCG will ensure such overlapping customers would be served.</li> </ul>			
NRDC -4	Ind	p.33	<b>Observations</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SCG’s Appendix B has great references to the legislation, etc. but for section 399.4 (c) of SB 350, the strategy column doesn’t tell me quite how this ensures LGs, CBOs, etc. would be used to implement programs.</li> </ul> <b>Recommended Action</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There should be clearer reference, perhaps sections could accomplish this. There should also be a reference to the section on partners and the forthcoming discussion of bidding. Alternatively, the table could include more prose.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ED in particular will be looking to ensure compliance with the various directives. Providing a clear link would enable higher compliance.</li> </ul>			