

Garcia, Daniela

From: Garcia, Daniela
Sent: Wednesday, July 5, 2017 12:30 PM
To: Marc Esser; Bo White
Subject: FW: (External):DRAFT DOE RFI Comment Letter
Attachments: DRAFT Regulatory Reform Comments 5July2017_v2 0_CLEAN.DOCX; DRAFT Regulatory Reform Comments 5July2017_v2 0_Tracked Changes.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Latest version.

From: Bijit Kundu [<mailto:BKundu@energy-solution.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, July 5, 2017 12:25 PM
To: Charles Kim <Charles.Kim@sce.com>
Cc: Erin Linney <elinney@energy-solution.com>; Anderson, Mary <M3AK@pge.com>; Barbour, John L <JBarbour@semprautilities.com>; Reeve, Jeremy <JMReeve@semprautilities.com>; Garcia, Daniela <DGarcia3@semprautilities.com>; Lauren Davis <ldavis@energy-solution.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: (External):DRAFT DOE RFI Comment Letter

Hi Charles,

Thanks for your helpful comments Charles. I am glad the draft exceeded your expectations. We reviewed and addressed your comments in the attached drafts, one with track changes and a clean version.

The one comment we couldn't address in short order is the example you suggested including about a rulemaking driving innovation. We are working with our lighting team (Mike M and others) on some language to include for an example of high margin products becoming the industry standards due to regulations.

Let me know if you have any questions about this updated letter (noted as V2 0).

Thanks,
Bijit

Bijit Kundu | Senior Project Manager | (510) 482-4420 x261

From: Charles Kim [<mailto:Charles.Kim@sce.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, July 5, 2017 8:56 AM
To: Bijit Kundu <BKundu@energy-solution.com>
Cc: Erin Linney <elinney@energy-solution.com>; Anderson, Mary <M3AK@pge.com>; John Barbor <JBarbour@semprautilities.com>; Reeve, Jeremy (JMReeve@semprautilities.com) <JMReeve@semprautilities.com>; Daniela Garcia <dgarcia3@semprautilities.com>
Subject: RE: (External):DRAFT DOE RFI Comment Letter

Dear Bijit,

My comments are attached. Would you please let me know if my comments make sense to you ASAP (especially the sentence that contains "108 percent")?

If you are O.K. with my comments, can you send a cleaner version ASAP so that I can take steps to get approvals?

Thank you.

P.S. This comment letter is far better than I expected. Thank you.

Charles J. Kim, P.E.

Sr. Engineer
Energy Codes and Standards
1515 Walnut Grove Ave., Rosemead, CA 91770
T. 626-302-0796

Privacy Notice: www.sce.com/privacynotice



From: Anderson, Mary [<mailto:M3AK@pge.com>]
Sent: Monday, July 03, 2017 7:36 PM
To: John Barbor <JBarbour@semprautilities.com>; Reefe, Jeremy (JMReefe@semprautilities.com) <JMReefe@semprautilities.com>; Daniela Garcia <dgarcia3@semprautilities.com>; Charles Kim <Charles.Kim@sce.com>
Cc: Bijit Kundu <BKundu@energy-solution.com>; Erin Linney <elinney@energy-solution.com>
Subject: (External):DRAFT DOE RFI Comment Letter

Attached is the draft comment letter on the DOE RFI. I appreciate everyone's time to get the outline done, this is a pretty meaty letter. Please review and send Bijit and I your comments by COB July 10, 2017. We will revise the letter and get it back to the team by July 12th for final approval. If you have any questions please let me know. Thanks!!!

Mary
We respect your privacy. Please review our privacy policy for more information.
<http://www.pge.com/en/about/company/privacy/customer/index.page>

This email originated outside of Sempra Energy. Be cautious of attachments, web links, or requests for information.