

Comment #	PA(s)	Sector	Page #	Comment
NRDC-1	SCG	Pub	General (no tracker)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P.5, could elaborate on differences from last few cycles • Cite assertions (p.6, barriers, for example) • Footnote 3 seems misplaced • Add a % column to Table A.1 for both customers and therms (in all chapters) • P.11 – agree with focusing on increasing EE with low market adoption, but there are not graphics to show which end uses are likely to be the best to focus on. Suggest providing visual (understanding public isn’t split out at the moment). See SDG&E p.78 • P.11 and 12 suggest referencing appendix F to guide readers to the legislative mandates and add the EO B-18-12 • P.15 – Only the last goal matches with the problem statements • same organizational comments as in other sectors. Suggest also making the tables easier to read (e.g., abbreviate what you can for near/mid/long or existing/etc. and make the description box as big as possible for ease of reading and to reduce the amount of pages it takes to get through the strategies...for all chapters.) • suggest incorporating something like SDG&E p.96 for a mapping of how the strategies meet address the barriers (for all sectors).
NRDC – 2	SCG	Pub	Metrics (tracker)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • p.18 Metric #1 – if the outcome is to have public entities self-sustaining, why is the metric participation in programs? • P.22 – what is SCG’s plan for how to do an “integrated program strategy deployment.” Given the moving parts, statewide, and third party bidding, how does SCG hope to achieve this? • P.36 – might have missed this in the other chapters (and understanding this is a draft) but need to provide more rationale for which programs and why SCG should keep them.