

Commenter Name:

Commenter Affiliation:

Program Administrator to receive feedback:

Date:

## Considerations for reviewing and providing comments on PA Business Plan Chapters

Please consider the following questions as you review the Business Plan chapters. The second page provides a template into which your feedback may be captured.

Prior to reviewing and commenting on the Business Plan drafts, a reviewer may wish to review the updated “NRDC Compilation of CPUC Business Plan Guidance and PA Consensus Outline” found on the CAEECC [Guidance webpage](#). That document merges a number of sources of guidance to PAs into a convenient outline format that the PAs have collectively agreed to leverage as they draft their documents. The following areas of review are intended to highlight those items that would be helpful in updating the business plans before the next draft. We do not expect stakeholders to answer all questions. Please choose those that are relevant to your interests. There is also an open row for additional comments that might not fit into the following format.

### 1. Structural Review

- a. Do the chapter layout and order of topics comply with NRDC compiled guidance document “outline”?
- b. Does the stylistic/visual presentation allow for easy navigation through the chapter (i.e., allowing easy comparison of the chapter against the NRDC compilation)?
- c. What examples from other PA chapters (whether same PA different sector or different PA all together) would you suggest be considered for this document

### 2. Content-Related Review

- a. Are all key pieces of information, tables, graphics, and supporting documents called for in the NRDC Compiled guidance document present in the Chapter?
- b. Are your previous comments and input addressed in the document?
- c. Is the overall sector plan coherent and clear?
- d. Are proposed activities (intervention strategies) sufficiently justified by the market assessment and other data analyses presented?
- e. Are substantive assertions and conclusions supported with clear reasoning and adequate citations?
- f. Are metrics relevant, representative, and associable with future IPs and PIPs?
- g. Is material presented at the right level of detail for a Business Plan?

On the next page, please find the comment template in which substantive comments can be recorded and then submitted to [facilitator@caeccc.org](mailto:facilitator@caeccc.org). If you have any questions about using this form or the review process, please contact the facilitator by phone or email. Caution: this form is set up as an 8.5 X 14 inch document and will not properly print on 8.5 X 11 paper.

Commenter Name:

Commenter Affiliation:

Program Administrator to receive feedback:

Date:

Instructions: Please make comments specific, reference pages where appropriate, and be focused on Business Plan level strategies.

Commenter Name: Lindsey Hawes

Commenter Affiliation: Center for Sustainable Energy

Program Administrator to receive feedback: SDG&E

Date: 09/27/16

Commenter: Please Fill In This Part Of The Form					For PA Use	
Comment #	Sector	Page #	Comment	Rationale for Comment (include references to evaluations, studies, etc., if applicable)	Integrated (Y/N)	Rationale for Y/N
CSE – 1	Com- mercial	16	<p><b>Observations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SDG&amp;E plans to “Create an online platform to facilitate cross-promotion.” CSE is curious as to how/if this online platform will differ from the statewide Energy Upgrade California platform that also “bundles ... products and financing into a holistic, customized energy management solution that can be used by customers to overcome both these barriers and better understand how they are using energy, develop an energy plan on their own, and connect them to the Trade Professionals who can assist with execution.”</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommended Action</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SDG&amp;E should consider working</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>		

Commenter Name:

Commenter Affiliation:

Program Administrator to receive feedback:

Date:

			<p><b><i>with PG&amp;E and the Energy Upgrade California implementer to coordinate activities around an online tool such that efforts are not duplicative but rather complimentary and straightforward for all customers.</i></b></p>			
CSE – 2			<p><b>Observations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><i>The State’s ZNE goals are mentioned briefly in the introduction on page 1, but ZNE is not referenced throughout the document in strategies, tactics, etc. The 2030 ZNE goals for 50% of existing commercial buildings will be looming near as the 10-year portfolio proceeds.</i></li></ul> <p><b>Recommended Action</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><b><i>CSE recommends that SDG&amp;E address ZNE 2030 commercial sector goals in Future Trends and Legislative Impacts sections.</i></b></li><li><b><i>CSE recommends that SDG&amp;E include a goal for increased awareness of 2030 ZNE goals among commercial sector stakeholders.</i></b></li><li><b><i>CSE recommends that SDG&amp;E reference ZNE as a driver and talking point in tactics and strategies.</i></b></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>N/A</li></ul>		

Commenter Name:

Commenter Affiliation:

Program Administrator to receive feedback:

Date:

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><i>CSE recommends that SDG&amp;E acknowledge that ZNE will not be feasible for all commercial properties due to siting constraints and emphasize the importance of reducing load through EE activities.</i></li></ul>			
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

Commenter—please replace **red text** with the information you wish to provide. Please submit completed comments to **facilitator@caecc.org**

Draft