

| Comment # | PA(s) | Sector | Page # | Comment |
|-----------|-------|--------|-------------------------|--|
| NRDC-1 | PG&E | Res | General (no tracker) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include income information (e.g., SCG p.9 res or p.333 in PDF) • Include additional end use information and potential study info (e.g., SDG&E p.49-51) • Correct NRDC’s name throughout (Natural, not National) • P.5 – hard to track the goals to the subsequent strategies. Suggest including a table instead of text for goals. The left column would be goals and the right column would be strategies that address that goal. Alternatively, could do such a table on p.42 as a summary. • P. 14 FYI only if you want to use the original brief that the plug load image is taken from: https://www.nrdc.org/sites/default/files/home-idle-load-plug-in-efficiency-IB.pdf • P.15 – like you described reasons for increase in electricity use, can PG&E note the reasons for an expected decrease in household energy usage? • Table 3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 1st key barrier (customer have different barriers to action): Suggest adding financial solutions ○ 3rd key barrier (customers don’t know how to act on energy usage information): Financial solutions could help there as well ○ 5th key barrier seems more like a solution. Is the barrier that existing program approaches have not yet reached available EE? (same on p.31) ○ 9th key barrier also seems like a solution. Is the barrier that workforce training is not yet fully aligned with policy goals? (same on p.39) • P.22 barrier doesn’t match the first barrier in Table 3 • P.35 – the current sentence “As part of Ab 793...increase the availability and ultimately the cost of EMTs” – do you mean and ultimately LOWER the cost of EMTs? • P.36 – suggest adding NEEA to partners • P.47 – suggest adding SB 32 • P.54 – makes the table larger (maybe change orientation) as most people will print these out and would be easier to read. |