

From: Lara Ettenson <lettenson@nrdc.org>
Date: Thursday, October 27, 2016 at 10:30 AM
To: Ted Pope <TedPope@2050partners.com>
Subject: NRDC cmts on SW admin and downstream pilot programs

Ted –

While we are supportive of the shift for PG&E to be responsible for all codes and standards, it does not make sense for SCG to have electric incentives programs (which was PG&E's in the previous iteration) nor was there compelling rationale for why that shift occurred. We are very supportive of collaboration with NEEA on programs that fall within this category and would want such partnerships to remain strong and eventually expand regardless of the lead.

We also are not confident that there will be strong coordination and synergies across all midstream/upstream approaches given that they are divided among multiple utilities. ORA, TURN, and NRDC made similar comments on the first version and I did not find the utilities' rationale compelling for why they did not pursue that recommendation.

We are supportive of moving forward with the downstream programs proposals, in particular the workforce readiness idea.

Best,
Lara

LARA ETTENSON

Director, CA Energy Efficiency Policy

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

111 SUTTER ST., 21ST FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
T 415.875.6100
LETTENSON@NRDC.ORG
WWW.NRDC.ORG