

**From:** [Eilert, Patrick](#)  
**To:** [Anderson, Mary](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: IOU Comment Letter to DOE  
**Date:** Thursday, July 13, 2017 2:25:01 PM

---

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Kristjansson, Sue" <[SKristjansson@semprautilities.com](mailto:SKristjansson@semprautilities.com)>  
**Date:** July 13, 2017 at 2:20:51 PM PDT  
**To:** "Eilert, Patrick" <[PLE2@pge.com](mailto:PLE2@pge.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: IOU Comment Letter to DOE

\*\*\*\*\*CAUTION: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Think before clicking links or opening attachments.\*\*\*\*\*

Okay, my boss is asking because he has reviewed and wants to know what the direction is. He is will Karen and Vincent and plans to discuss with them. Apparently they are here today for some meeting.

-----Original Message-----

From: Eilert, Patrick [<mailto:PLE2@pge.com>]  
Sent: Thursday, July 13, 2017 2:17 PM  
To: Kristjansson, Sue <[SKristjansson@semprautilities.com](mailto:SKristjansson@semprautilities.com)>  
Cc: Michelle Thomas ([Michelle.Thomas@sce.com](mailto:Michelle.Thomas@sce.com))  
<[Michelle.Thomas@sce.com](mailto:Michelle.Thomas@sce.com)>; Zeng, Kate <[KZeng@semprautilities.com](mailto:KZeng@semprautilities.com)>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: IOU Comment Letter to DOE

I am in meetings until late afternoon. Will respond then.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 13, 2017, at 1:57 PM, Kristjansson, Sue  
<[SKristjansson@semprautilities.com](mailto:SKristjansson@semprautilities.com)<<mailto:SKristjansson@semprautilities.com>>>  
wrote:

\*\*\*\*\*CAUTION: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Think before clicking links or opening attachments.\*\*\*\*\* Hey there,

I haven't heard anything from any of the other IOU's. At the very least I need to know if you all think we can come to some sort of consensus or not.

Let me know what your timing is for a decision.

Thanks!  
Sue

From: Kristjansson, Sue  
Sent: Thursday, July 13, 2017 9:50 AM  
To: 'Eilert, Patrick' <[PLE2@pge.com](mailto:PLE2@pge.com)<<mailto:PLE2@pge.com>>>; 'Michelle Thomas' ([Michelle.Thomas@sce.com](mailto:Michelle.Thomas@sce.com)<<mailto:Michelle.Thomas@sce.com>>)' <[Michelle.Thomas@sce.com](mailto:Michelle.Thomas@sce.com)<<mailto:Michelle.Thomas@sce.com>>>; Zeng, Kate <[KZeng@semprautilities.com](mailto:KZeng@semprautilities.com)<<mailto:KZeng@semprautilities.com>>>  
Subject: RE: IOU Comment Letter to DOE

Checking in to see if there are any thoughts on this version.

From: Kristjansson, Sue  
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2017 3:24 PM  
To: 'Eilert, Patrick' <[PLE2@pge.com](mailto:PLE2@pge.com)<<mailto:PLE2@pge.com>>>; 'Michelle Thomas' ([Michelle.Thomas@sce.com](mailto:Michelle.Thomas@sce.com)<<mailto:Michelle.Thomas@sce.com>>)' <[Michelle.Thomas@sce.com](mailto:Michelle.Thomas@sce.com)<<mailto:Michelle.Thomas@sce.com>>>; Zeng, Kate <[KZeng@semprautilities.com](mailto:KZeng@semprautilities.com)<<mailto:KZeng@semprautilities.com>>>  
Subject: IOU Comment Letter to DOE

Good afternoon managers,

Based on conversations I had with each of you we've modified the comment letter to reflect a more measured response to the DOE's inquiry. I see their RFI as an opportunity for us to shape where we want them to go and if we simply agree with all that has been in the past we run the risk of this administration eliminating EERE altogether (that is up for discussion and has some support). It behooves us to come up with valid and reasonable recommendations for improvement so that we can get through the next years and beyond.

I will note that we added the element of the furnace rule methodology and although I mentioned that we may be willing to remove that from the main letter with all four IOU's, I'm not sure that my upper management is supportive of that offer, they are still reviewing and feel that is an important element for us. Note that we are not requesting that the DOE throw out the Monte Carlo method, just conduct a review of how it interacts with the different measures that are considered and have a good peer review of the result to ensure we don't end up where we did with the furnace rule. I think it's a reasonable request.

Please review the attached revised (redline) version to see if we are able to find common ground and sign on together as the four California IOU's. If you could review as quickly as possible and get back to me, we are low on time with the comments due on Friday.

Thanks!

Sue Kristjansson  
Codes & Standards and ZNE Manager  
SoCalGas  
Email:  
[skristjansson@semprautilities.com](mailto:skristjansson@semprautilities.com)<<mailto:skristjansson@semprautilities.com>>

Office: (213) 244-5535  
Cell: (424) 744-0361

We respect your privacy. Please review our privacy policy for more information.  
<http://www.pge.com/en/about/company/privacy/customer/index.page>

-----  
This email originated outside of Sempra Energy. Be cautious of attachments, web links, or requests for information.