

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**  
**Energy Efficiency 2018-2025 Rolling Portfolio Business Plan**  
**Application 17-01-015**  
**Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CCEE_001-Q36		
PG&E File Name:	EnergyEfficiency2018-2025-RollingPortfolioBusinessPlan_DR_CCEE_001-Q36		
Request Date:	March 22, 2017	Requester DR No.:	001
Date Sent:	April 7, 2017	Requesting Party:	California Coalition for Energy Efficiency
		Requester:	Thomas A. Enslow

**SUBJECT: APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U338E) FOR APPROVAL OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY ROLLING PORTFOLIO BUSINESS PLAN AND RELATED MATTERS. CCE-DR01**

**QUESTION 36**

In the Joint Investor Owned Utilities’ November 23, 2016 Response to TURN’s Data Request (DR-TURN-001), the IOUs state in Response to Question 14 (at p. 19) that the “IOUs do not intend to continue with Direct Install as a strategy for achieving energy efficiency.”

- (a) Is this statement still correct?
- (b) Is this statement limited to residential replace-on-burnout programs, or does it apply to other programs or sectors as well?
- (c) Does PG&E expect the 2018-2025 Energy Efficiency Business Plans to result in the elimination or reduction of other Direct Install programs? Please list which Direct Install programs are anticipated to be eliminated or reduced.
- (d) Please explain the justification for reducing or eliminating some or all Direct Install programs. Please provide any studies, reports, data or other evidence relied upon to support this justification.

**ANSWER 36**

PG&E respectfully corrects the record, in that the Joint Investor Owned Utilities’ November 23, 2016 response to TURN’s data request (DR-TURN-001) states, “The IOUs *do* intend to continue with DI as a strategy for achieving energy efficiency.”<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>13</sup> Joint Investor Owned Utilities’ Response to The Utility Reform Network Data Request to all IOUs (DR-TURN-001), p. 19. Emphasis added.