

Manke, Adam P

From: Schwecke, Rodger
Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2015 12:23 PM
To: Rendler, Daniel; Arriola, Dennis
Cc: Reed, Jeffrey G.; Kristjansson, Sue
Subject: RE: AGA Board Prep

Dan,

Let's get the team together and determine the best strategy going forward. We have to continue to argue what is appropriate for our customers, that could include a potential Btu limit reflective of our customer base and needs.

Rodger

From: Rendler, Daniel
Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2015 10:37 AM
To: Arriola, Dennis
Cc: Reed, Jeffrey G. ; Schwecke, Rodger ; Kristjansson, Sue
Subject: RE: AGA Board Prep

Thanks Dennis. We were originally going to use 65K but in light of AGAs plan, I will be working with our internal stakeholders to suggest removing a specific number and maintain our current position requesting our concerns with the flaws in the NOPR methodology be addressed. This will allow us to benefit from a higher BTU level should the AGA be successful and if the DOE does not address our concerns.

Dan

Daniel J. Rendler
Director, Customer Programs & Assistance
Southern California Gas Company
Tel: (213) 244-3480
Cell (951) 830-6360
E-mail: drendler@semprautilities.com

From: Arriola, Dennis
Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2015 10:27 AM
To: Rendler, Daniel
Cc: Reed, Jeffrey G.; Schwecke, Rodger; Kristjansson, Sue
Subject: Re: AGA Board Prep

Be advised, AGA will be asking for more than 70k, so don't sell our position short.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 13, 2015, at 1:18 PM, "Rendler, Daniel" <DRendler@semprautilities.com> wrote:

Thanks Dennis. We will continue to engage with AGA in building the coalition and adjust our response letter to the DOE to reflect the 70,000Btu level.

Dan

Daniel J. Rendler
Director, Customer Programs & Assistance
Southern California Gas Company
Tel: (213) 244-3480
Cell (951) 830-6360
E-mail: drendler@semprautilities.com

From: Arriola, Dennis
Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2015 8:36 AM
To: Reed, Jeffrey G.
Cc: Schwecke, Rodger; Rendler, Daniel; Kristjansson, Sue
Subject: RE: AGA Board Prep

Substantial discussion on Furnace Rule. Board decided to try and continue to negotiate a compromise at no lower than 70,000 Btu. AGA will try and build a coalition of low income, AARP and minority groups to try and get DOE to agree to a higher number. Board hasn't ruled out potential litigation, but most feel that probability of success is low and we need to try and push for a compromise. Nobody was really happy with the outcome.

DA

From: Reed, Jeffrey G.
Sent: Sunday, October 11, 2015 9:38 AM
To: Arriola, Dennis
Cc: Schwecke, Rodger; Rendler, Daniel; Kristjansson, Sue
Subject: FW: AGA Board Prep

Dennis –

Here's an update to the board prep package. Only the summary document (2015-10-13 AGA Board Prep Material updated.docx) has changed -- minor revisions to the talking points for the furnace discussion.

Let me know if you have questions or need further input.

Jeff