

## Garcia, Daniela

---

**From:** Anderson, Mary <M3AK@pge.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 23, 2017 11:07 AM  
**To:** Eilert, Patrick; Kristjansson, Sue; Thomas, Michelle; Zeng, Kate  
**Cc:** Garcia, Daniela; Reefe, Jeremy; Kim, Charles  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: Tub-Spout Diverters

For background for folks who haven't been involved in this process before here are some important items to keep in mind.

- The CEC released an Invitation to Participate(ITP) and the IOUs responded to all of the measures except tub spout diverters. While it isn't required for the IOUs to participate we have historically responded to all (that I am aware of) of the opportunities with some form of a response and public support.
- On the other measures we had been in communication with the CEC regarding our responses and didn't let the CEC know that weren't responding to the ITP for tub spout diverters.
- Daniela let the team know a few days before the ITP response deadline that she didn't believe we had sufficient information to respond to the ITP. None of the other IOUs expressed concern. It appears that wasn't communicated to the CEC.
- In the last meeting with the CEC they asked the IOUs about our lack of response and if we planned on submitting a response and we stated that we were not.
- In situations where there is little to no pushback (although the vast majority of rulemakings have some pushback) it could be okay not to respond, in my opinion.
- The CEC requested a meeting with the IOUs and the CASE authors (they stated it is a high priority for them)regarding the research plan on tub spout diverters.
- The draft standards proposal for all Phase 2 topics, including tub spout diverters is due middle to end of August.

---

**From:** Eilert, Patrick  
**Sent:** Friday, June 23, 2017 10:11 AM  
**To:** Kristjansson, Sue; Thomas, Michelle; Zeng, Kate  
**Cc:** Anderson, Mary; Garcia, Daniela; Reefe, Jeremy; Kim, Charles  
**Subject:** FW: Tub-Spout Diverters

Sue/Michelle/Kate-

I have asked Mary to send an Outlook invitation to discuss.

Thank you.

Pat

---

**From:** Driskell, Kristen@Energy [<mailto:Kristen.Driskell@energy.ca.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 22, 2017 4:45 PM  
**To:** Eilert, Patrick  
**Cc:** Anderson, Mary  
**Subject:** Tub-Spout Diverters

\*\*\*\*\***CAUTION:** This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Think before clicking links or opening attachments.\*\*\*\*\*

Hi Pat,

Hope you're doing well.

I was surprised not to receive comments from the IOUs on tub-spout diverters. We got a lot of opposition to the idea of lowering the leakage rate, and no support (EPA was neutral). It would be nice to know earlier rather than later whether IOU's will be supporting this effort or not. Let me know if you'd like to talk by phone.

Thanks,  
Kristen

Kristen M. Driskell  
Appliances & Outreach & Education Office  
Efficiency Division  
California Energy Commission  
(916) 654-3957  
[Kristen.Driskell@energy.ca.gov](mailto:Kristen.Driskell@energy.ca.gov)

We respect your privacy. Please review our privacy policy for more information.  
<http://www.pge.com/en/about/company/privacy/customer/index.page>

---

This email originated outside of Sempra Energy. Be cautious of attachments, web links, or requests for information.