

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**  
**Energy Efficiency 2018-2025 Rolling Portfolio Business Plan**  
**Application 17-01-015**  
**Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	ORA_01-Q31		
PG&E File Name:	EnergyEfficiency2018-2025-RollingPortfolioBusinessPlan_DR_ORA_01-Q31		
Request Date:	March 1, 2017	Requester DR No.:	PGE001
Date Sent:	March 15, 2017	Requesting Party:	ORA (Office of Ratepayer Advocates)
PG&E Witness:	Meghan Dewey	Requester:	Daniel Buch

**SUBJECT: DATA REQUEST NO. ORA BUSINESS PLAN A1701013-PGE001. (ORA\_01).**

**STATEWIDE LEAD SELECTION**

**QUESTION 31**

Which non-utility entities were considered in the determination of statewide program administrator (PA) lead roles?

- a. List and describe any Lead PA proposals received by the utilities from non-utility entities.
- b. Explain why these non-utility proposals were disqualified.

**ANSWER 31**

No non-utility entities were considered in the determination of statewide PA lead roles because, to the utilities' knowledge, no non-utility PA decided to present itself to the CAEECC as a potential candidate for a statewide PA lead role.

- a. The utilities did not receive any Lead PA proposals from non-utility entities.
- b. Not applicable because no non-utility proposals were received.