From: To: Subject: Date: RE: Confir ming dinner at 7:30 October 26, 2015 12:36:10 PM

Let's qualify our support for LEDs. We support a CRI of 90 since we don't want a 2-tiered market for quality. The cri/lpw is confusing and low-income folks will lose out.

A cut-off of 82 is too low for Tier 2. By 2018, efficient ncies will be at about 100 lpw, so the standard will be too weak at that point to have a long-term impact ... except to support a price premium for quality

## From: Anderson, Mary Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 12:27 PM To: Eilert, Patrick L; Hunt, Marshall Subject: RE: Confirming dinner at 7:30

Please review my draft response to Jan. Send me your comments ASAP. Thanks!!!

We don't have an indication yet on how DOE will be handling LEDs and computers. DOE will begin expedited rulemakings on these two products between November and February. If California does not finalize the LED and the computer rulemakings by the beginning of 2016 we will pre-empted by DOE's standards. We have informal indications that DOE isn't planning on being as aggressive as CA in these two rulemakings. The CEC is hoping that after Title 20 adoption DOE will eventually adopt the same levels and the IOUs plan or supporting the CEC levels at the federal level.

LEDs – Based upon the CEC's 45 Day Language. PG&E's C&S group is supportive of the CEC's proposal • Lamp Specification - LED lamps = 2,600 lumens that produce white light (i.e. within Duv of 0.012 of the black-body curve and capable of providing light between 2200 K and 7000K), and that have an E12, E17, E26, or GU-24 base, including retrofit kit products with those base types.

- · Luminous Efficacy Requirement The minimum levels for high color rendering index (CRI) lamps are as follows
  - =65 lumens per watt (lpw) in 2017
  - =80 lpw in 2019 There a tradeoff off with CRI, so efficacy requirements will actually be higher for products with CRI in mid 80s (\*80 and 100lpw).
- CRI Lamps greater than or equal to 150 lumens must have CRI = 82 and a minimum score of 72 for each individual color sample R1 R8.

Cor-Lamps greater than or equal to 150 lumens must have Cu<sup>2</sup> 22 and a minimum score 17 2 rol each minious coir 3 sample 41 - 18.
Corelated Color Temperature (CCT) Lamps greater than or equal to 150 lumens. No more than 64 with the black-body curve, and no more than 4 MacAdam steps above the ANSI target white curve (from ANSI C78).
Rated Life - Lamps greater than or equal to 150 lumens: minimum 10,000 hours L70 projection
Computers - Still waiting for the CEC's 2nd Staff Report. Currently, the CEC and the IOUs are generally aligned on the latest proposed standard, and what is expected in the 2nd Draft Report, which we believe will be significantly more stringent than what DOE will likely be proposing in November. Not onlinedrate likely is standard would be effective S years after the finale rule (currently estimated to be "2021), which would arguably make the standard irrelevant, given computer technology advancement. In order to get savings from the Title 20 computers standard, it is imperative that it adopt a standard before DOE does.

The IOU and CEC proposal scope includes desktops, notebooks, workstations, and simple avec tasks is adjusted to the vector back.
The IOU and CEC proposal scope includes desktops, notebooks, workstations, and simple cave tasks is adjusted to the vector back.
For desktops, which is the majority of the savings, the IOUS are currently proposing a two-lier approach with Tier 1 going into effect on January 1, 2018 and Tier 2 one year later. Tier 1 is based on low-hanging fruit improvements in current technology, and includes additional power allowances for higher performance computers, based on the concept of expandability. Tier 2 is based on cost-effective technology improvements that resolve the power supply limitations and remove the need for expandability.

- energy allowances.
- The IOUs have been actively engaged with the computer industry group (ITI), including a number of in-person meetings to discuss the proposal and submission of a joint proposal for definitions. This week we will be comparing proposals for categorization

----Original Message From: Berman, Janice S Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 10:21 AM To: Eilert, Patrick L; Anderson, Mary; Hunt, Marshall; Zelmar, Karen Subject: FW: Confirming dinner at 7:30

Pat -- we need to get back to Melissa on what's up on California vs DOE approach on LEDs and Computers. Who can provide background today?

-- lar

-----Original Message--From: Lavinson, Melissa A Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 10:18 AM To: Krausse, Mark; Berman, Janice S Subject: FW: Confirming dinner at 7:30

Mark

What Bob will likely raise. I have the background on furnaces. I will inquire about the issues we discussed this morning.

Jan, anything on computers?

## Melissa

-----Original Message-----From: Mack, Grant@Energy [mailto:Grant.Mack@energy.ca.gov] Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 1:15 PM To: Lavinson. Melissa A Subject: RE: Confirming dinner at 7:30

Alert: This message originated outside of PG&E. Use caution when opening attachments, clicking links or responding to requests for information.

## Hi Melicca

Happy Monday! Confirmed. Bob will likely want to discuss DOE's EE std rulemakings for NG furnaces, LEDS and computers in relation to California's.

Rest regards

Grant A. Mack Advisor to Chair Robert B. Weisenmiller California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Steet, Sacramento, CA 95814 Grant.mack@energy.ca.gov 916-654-5166

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http= 3A\_SaveOurWater.com&d=BQIFAg&c=ht5\_V\_MrCwXDJNCFvC1XhVzdhWZdOtrP9xOJ43rEY1&r=3M32Nan4wbjjcxFZ3XSIDhKA6dGXgwcoNpmuaV6G3JQ&m=85XxATCxcExqOuBRBwhtMET3OII.vzp/SDN3I4VnDR8x&s=RwRBg0XRfcDWp\_8SnBIrKcsp-

e0oiMzoUoD366M3BDM&e= \* https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-34\_Drought.CA.gov&d=BOIFAg&c=h1\_V\_MRCwXDJNCFvC1XhVzdhW2dOtrP9X0j43rEYI&r=3M32Nan4wbjicxFZ3XSIDhKA6dGXgwcoNpmuaV6G3I0&m=85XxATCxcRzqOuBRBwhtMET30ILvzpfsDN3I4VnDR8s&s=hDynxDRTeOlogir6ifBninWPyO01nmGdnEV424bCrAU&e=

-----Original Message-----From: Lavinson, Melissa A <u>[mailto:Melissa.Lavinson@pge-corp.com]</u> Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 9:10 AM To: Mack, Grant@Energy Subject: Confirming dinner at 7:30

Daily Grill in Bethesda

Thanks!