



# Equity and Market Support Working Group (EMSWG) Meeting #3

December 6, 2023 | 1:00 - 4:00 PM PT

Hosted by California Energy Efficiency Coordinating Committee (CAEECC)

# Introductions



In the **chat**, please introduce yourself with your:

- Name and pronouns
- Organization

# Meeting Goals

**1**

**Clarify specifics  
related to Equity  
Indicators #2, #5-9,  
and #11-12**

**2**

**Identify any  
remaining barriers to  
reporting on Equity  
Indicators**

# Agenda

<b>Time</b>	<b>Topic</b>
9:00	<b>Welcome</b>
9:05	<b>Topic 1: Equity Indicator #2</b>
9:50	<b>Topic 2: Equity Indicators #5-9</b>
10:30	<b>10-minute Break</b>
10:40	<b>Topic 3: Equity Indicators #11-12</b>
11:20	<b>Bike Rack Items</b>
11:50	<b>Wrap Up and Next Steps</b>
12:00	<b>Adjourn</b>

# Topic 1: Equity Indicator #2

## Equity Indicator #2

*Sum of equity target participants' expected first-year bill savings  
in equity segment, by sector*

# Discussion Questions:

1. What inputs are needed to calculate this Indicator?
2. Should an average electric rate be used across PAs to calculate this value? If so, what is the methodology for determining the average rate (presumably on a \$/kWh) by which to calculate bill savings? How will non-IOU PA's determine/obtain "average rate" information?
3. Is this expected bill savings (by segment) for ALL target participants in each sector equity segment programs? Or average bill savings for individual participants?
4. Is there a data field within CEDARS to capture this "average rate"? If not, can a field be added?

# Topic 2: Equity Indicators #5-9



## Equity Indicators #5-9

Sum of all equity segment participants' \_\_\_\_\_ in equity segment.

- Equity Indicator #5: greenhouse gas reductions (in tons of carbon dioxide equivalent)
- Equity Indicator #6: kilowatt hour (kWh) savings
- Equity Indicator #7: kW savings
- Equity Indicator #8: Therm savings
- Equity Indicator #9: TSB

## Discussion Question:

1. How should PAs reconcile significant differences across Equity Indicators #5-9 (e.g. first-year vs lifecycle, net vs gross, forecast vs evaluated)?
2. When Indicator results are compared across PAs, what will the reader be able to understand? What information is needed to ensure consistent interpretation by readers, if any?

00	Welcome & Housekeeping
01	Topic 1: Equity Indicator #2
02	Topic 2: Equity Indicators #5-9
<b>03</b>	Topic 3: Equity Indicators #11-12
<b>04</b>	Bike Rack Items
<b>05</b>	Wrap Up & Next Steps

**Break  
(10 min)**

# **Topic 3: Equity Indicators #11-12**

## Session 3: Equity Indicators #11-12

Equity Indicator #11 = *Percent of hard-to-reach customer participants in portfolio, by residential single family / multi-family and commercial sector*

Equity Indicator #12 = *Percent of disadvantaged community customer participants in portfolio, by residential single-family / multifamily and commercial sector*

## Discussion Question:

1. How do the overlapping definitions between "hard-to-reach" and "disadvantaged community" affect reporting on these Indicators?
2. In the PAs' starting proposals, the numerator is consistent for the Indicators but the denominator is split between "Count of all customers" and "Count of all participants" – how should this be reconciled?
3. What new data needs to be collected to report on these Indicators, if any?

# **Bike Rack Items**

# **Address remaining items related to Equity Indicators or from Meeting #2**



# Wrap Up & Next Steps

# Recap of the day

## Meeting Objectives:

1. Clarify specifics relating to Equity Indicators #2, #5-9, and #11-12
2. Identify any remaining barriers to reporting on Equity Indicators

## What to expect next

- We will be meeting in the new year on **January 17 from 9am - 12pm PT** to begin working on the Market Support Indicators.
  - *Please be on the lookout for any Homework between now and then.*
- Meeting #3 Summary will be posted by December 13.

*If you have any questions, please contact [sooji@common-spark.com](mailto:sooji@common-spark.com).*

**THANK YOU.**

Next Meeting: January 17 at 9am