Equity and Market Support Working Group Meeting #4 Agenda

Date: January 17, 2024 Time: 9:00am - 12:00pm PT

Join the Meeting >

URL: <u>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81018585279</u> Meeting ID: 810 1858 5279 One tap mobile: +16694449171,89612869158#,,,*552967#

Objectives

- Participants clarify definitions for terms used in Market Support Indicators #1, 10, 13, 18, 20
- Participants discuss other priority Market Support Indicators

Agenda

Supporting materials can be found on the CAEECC website: <u>https://www.caeecc.org/equity-market-support-wg-mtg-4</u>

Time	Topic & Description	Lead
9:00	<u>Welcome</u>	Common Spark Consulting
9:10	 Topic 1: Partnerships "Partnership" definitions Discussion Questions: Is it important/required that PAs use the same agreed-upon definition? (this question applies to all terms) Should "partnerships" be defined as formal, contracted relationships? MS #20: Assessed value of the partnership by partners (A, P) - What methods are available to assess the value of a partnership? Should PAs all use the same method to report on this Indicator? 	Common Spark Consulting
9:30	 Topic 2: Partners "Partner" definitions Discussion Questions: Is it important to distinguish paid and unpaid partners (for example, via "type")? For MS Indicator #18, Percentage of partners that have taken action supporting energy efficiency by type (Q, P): How should "action taken" be defined in the context of partner support of energy efficiency; insight needed into the specific criteria needed to measure and evaluate these 'actions'? What is the appropriate denominator for the percentage calculation? 	Common Spark Consulting
9:50	Topic 3: Collaborations 1. Collaboration Definitions	Common Spark

	 Discussion Questions: How should the <u>2023 CPUC WE&T Program Partnerships with</u> <u>Training Institutions Evaluation Report</u> be used to define "collaboration," if at all? How do "collaborations" differ from "partnerships," if at all? Is there any reason that "partnerships" and "collaborations" should not be defined in the same way? What are the mechanics of providing contextual descriptions with numerical information including expected key information and level of detail? 	Consulting
10:10	Topic 4: Type & Purpose1. "Type" and "Purpose" Definitions2. Discussion Question:a. What else, if anything, needs to be done to facilitate consistent reporting by PAs on "type" and "purpose" for MS Indicator #1?	Common Spark Consulting
10:30	Stretch break	
10:40	 Topic 5: Other Priority Market Support Indicators 1. Members will prioritize a suggested list of Market Support Indicators for discussion. Suggested Indicators include: a. MS #5: Number of career and workforce readiness participants who have been employed for 12 months after receiving the training (A, S); b. MS #6-10: Indicators relating to the Emerging Technology Program (ETP) (A, P) c. MS #22: Percent of market participant awareness of emerging/under-utilized or existing energy efficiency products or services (A, P) d. MS #23: Aggregated confidence level in performance verification by production, project, and service (for relevant programs) (A, P) 2. Please refer to the slides for detailed discussion questions for each Indicator 	Common Spark Consulting
11:50	Wrap Up and Adjourn	

This meeting will be held on Zoom. We encourage you to use the desktop or web client of Zoom over the mobile app or dial-in mechanisms. Visit <u>Zoom Support</u> for more info.