

Full CAEECC #49 Q1 Evaluation

Date: August 13, 2025

Overview

16 respondents: 15 CAEECC Members; 1 CPUC.

Question		AVG	MED
Meeting objectives were accomplished	Objective 1: Learn about Energy Division's relevant updates	5.3	5
	Objective 2: Discuss how CARB's Appliance Standards may impact EE portfolios	5.5	5.5
	Objective 3: Discuss proposed CAEECC engagement on Workforce Standards and other Business Items	5.3	5
	Objective 4: Learn updates from the EAC	5.4	5
	Across all Objectives	5.4	5
Presentations and background documents were clear and helpful.		5.3	5
This meeting was an inclusive and trusting environment.		5.4	5
The facilitators were effective in running the meeting (e.g., fostering a constructive and efficient forum, being impartial, and making sure no one dominated discussions).		5.7	6
Overall, the virtual meeting format (Zoom) was smooth and effective.		5.7	6
Overall, this Full CAEECC meeting was successful.		5.3	5

To see how this meeting compares to recent CAEECC evaluations, [see reference table](#).

Key Findings

- Relevant links and discussion questions should be shared with CAEECC in advance.
- Breakouts were helpful to increase dialogue and engagement and should be used in the future. However, consider whether Breakouts or Slido is most appropriate in a given session.
- More policy issues can and should be brought up to CAEECC.

- Reconsider the timing of meetings to ensure relevant guidance is introduced in a timely manner.

Open Text Responses

Objectives

Please add any clarifying comments regarding your responses to the question above about Objectives.

- Would benefit from seeing **relevant links from ED prior** to meeting to come better prepared for questions.
- The breakout sessions for the CARB Zero Emissions standards proposal were interesting and informative. Recommend **providing the breakout questions to CAEECC members a couple days before** the meeting, so that those who are interested could begin thinking about their potential answers.

Presentations and Background Docs

Please add any clarifying comments regarding your response to the question above about presentations and background documents.

- I would have preferred more **details on the CPUC ED activities** most relevant to CAEECC.

Inclusive and Trusting Environment

Please add any clarifying comments regarding your response to the question above about inclusive & trusting environment.

- Break-out groups were **helpful** for more engagement than typical.
- The facilitators enabled an open and inclusive multi-logue which **encouraged participation**.

Meeting Facilitation

Please add any clarifying comments regarding your responses to the question above about meeting facilitation.

- The facilitators enabled **constructive discussions** in which everyone was encouraged to contribute.

Meeting Format

Please add any clarifying comments regarding your responses to question 9 about the virtual meeting format.

- The virtual meeting format was **effective and convenient**, saving participants time and money, as well as reducing GHGs.

Overall Meeting Success

Please add any clarifying comments regarding your responses to question 11 about the overall meeting success.

- Given the diverse range of perspectives and preferences, the meeting was nonetheless successful.

Better Engagement

Do you have any suggestions on how to get better engagement (i.e., hearing from a broader set of participants while using Zoom)?

- **Breakout** rooms worked well and should be used in future meetings
- Have a happy hour *before* the meeting (JK). **Raise issues for discussion which are unlikely to lead to perceived judgment and criticism.**
- Using **Slido** has been good in the past. Might have worked better than the breakouts for the CARB discussion.

Suggestions for Future CAEECC Meetings

Please add any suggested improvements for future CAEECC meetings.

- Add **more policy issues** for which CAEECC can have an impact on CPUC energy regulations.
- Getting information that could affect our business plans 3 days before they are due was a little unnerving. **Better timing of meetings** so they don't interfere with major deadlines for PAs.

Reference: Average Evaluations

Question	Q1 2026	Q4 2025	Q3 2025	Q2 2025	Q1 2025	Q4 2024	Q3 2024	Q2 2024	Q1 2024	Q4 2023
Objectives of the meeting were accomplished.	5.4	5.5	5.3	5	4.9	4.9	5.3	4.6	4.3	5.0
Presentations and background documents were clear and helpful.	5.3	5.2	5.5	5.4	5.2	5.3	5.4	4.4	4.9	4.9
This meeting was an inclusive and trusting environment	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.0	5.1	4.3	4.9	4.9
The facilitators were effective in running the meeting (e.g., fostering a constructive and efficient forum, being impartial, and making sure no one dominated discussions).	5.7	5.8	5.5	5.3	5.4	5.2	5.2	4.3	4.7	4.7
Overall, the online meeting format (WebEx or Zoom) was smooth and effective.	5.7	5.5	5.5	5.5	4.7	4.9	5.1	4.8	4.4	5.2
Overall, this Full CAEECC meeting was successful.	5.3	5.2	5.2	5	5	4.8	5.2	4.3	4.4	4.6