

Equity and Market Support Working Group Meeting #8 Agenda

Date: February 28, 2024
 Time: 9:00am - 12:00pm PT

Join Zoom Meeting

URL: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87625458544>

Meeting ID: 876 2545 8544

One tap mobile

+13092053325,,87625458544# US

+13126266799,,87625458544# US (Chicago)

Objectives

- Continue taking Working Group member feedback on the Draft EMSWG Report
- Address remaining questions and next steps for the Draft EMSWG Report

Agenda

Supporting materials can be found on the CAEECC website:

<https://www.caeec.org/equity-and-market-support-wg-mtg-8>

Time	Topic & Description	Lead
9:00	Welcome	
9:05	Topic 1: Definition of "Non-ratepayer in-kind funds/contributions" (page 21) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should "An entity paying for services on the PA's behalf" be added as a third bullet to the definition? 	
9:15	Topic 2: Definition of Market-rate Capital (page 22) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there any disagreement to the new addition to the definition (i.e., the last sentence that reads: "For an investment, the market rate is the average rate of return required by the investor to compensate for the risk of that investment")? • Should the US Prime rate be identified as a good average rate for non-residential customers in the definition of "market-rate capital"? 	Common Spark Consulting
9:35	Topic 3: Definition of "Capital Accessed via Energy Efficiency Programs" (page 22) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For clarification: Do customers access CAEATFA, GoGreen financing, and California Revolving Loan Funds through the EE portfolio or through CAEATFA or another entity directly? • Should this be added as a recommendation: "Pause on reporting Market Support Indicator #25 until there is PA consensus on data collection"? 	
9:50	Topic 4: Principles for Reporting (page 28) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In previous working group meetings, we've discussed that program data should be provided along with the Indicators to support accurate comparisons across PAs and consistent interpretation among readers. This can include program objectives and descriptions. However, Working Group participants noted that program-specific data is already uploaded to CEDARS and Indicators should not 	

	result in redundant or duplicative reporting. How would PAs avoid redundant reporting here if program data is already uploaded to CEDARS?	
10:20	<u>10-Minute Break</u>	
10:30	<u>Topic 5: Indicator Reporting Process (page 28)</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Given Recommendation #20, is Recommendation #21 a workable solution for an initial “phase” of reporting? 	
10:50	<u>Topic 6: Followup from Meeting #7</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should the example “purposes” be included in the definition of Market Support Indicator #1? Examples include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deliver EE products Outreach Education Job training Diversify funding options Program enrollment Does the table summary of indicators capture the suggestions from Meeting #7? 	
11:10	<u>Topic 7: Next Steps on Unclarified Indicators</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What next steps do you suggest for Indicators that the Working Group did not discuss nor sufficiently clarify in this process? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Working Group did not address Market Support Indicators 2-12, 14-16, 19, 21, and 24 	
11:40	<u>Topic 8: Remaining Issues to Highlight in the Report</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What other remaining issues would you like to highlight in the report as outstanding? 	
11:50	<u>Wrap Up and Adjourn</u>	

This meeting will be held on Zoom. We encourage you to use the desktop or web client of Zoom over the mobile app or dial-in mechanisms. Visit [Zoom Support](#) for more info.